

Henderson, Katie

From: Reiber, Loretta
Sent: Monday, July 06, 2009 11:13 AM
To: Shafii, Mo
Cc: Fuller, Kim; Bailey, John
Subject: RE: GP Crossett

Let me talk it over with Kim this afternoon. The updates only concerned a few parameters where the level in the effluent was clarified. An example – MQL for selenium is 5. Their actual detection was lower. Selenium was listed as <5 ug/l on the PPS form. At 5 ug/l, reasonable potential was demonstrated. They came back with information stating that the actual level of selenium was 4 or something like that to where it didn't show reasonable potential any more.

-----Original Message-----

From: Shafii, Mo
Sent: Monday, July 06, 2009 11:09 AM
To: Reiber, Loretta
Cc: Fuller, Kim; Bailey, John
Subject: RE: GP Crossett

I reviewed what you had already. Which it was great but I'm thinking we should have two sections in fact sheet one for Arka and one for LA?

-----Original Message-----

From: Reiber, Loretta
Sent: Monday, July 06, 2009 10:20 AM
To: Shafii, Mo
Subject: GP Crossett

Mo,

I have made some updates to the PPS for GP – Crossett so please wait to send it to EPA until you have seen the updates. It is being routed through Kim and John.

Loretta